

United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,249	03/02/2004	Mariko Aduma	116692005100	9890
25227 7590 07/23/2007 MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			EXAMINER	
1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD			MISIASZEK, MICHAEL	
SUITE 400 MCLEAN, VA	22102		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3625	_
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/790,249	ADUMA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael Misiaszek	3625					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 M	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 May 2007</u> .						
<i>,</i> —							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,6 and 7</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant's amendments filed 5/4/2007 have been received and reviewed. The status of the claims is as follows:

Claims 1-4, 6, and 7 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-4, 6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tavor.

Regarding Claims 1, 7

Tavor discloses a product information contrast system and computer program product which contrasts a plurality of items of product information that contain specifications indicating properties of products, comprising:

 a product information management unit managing the plurality of items of product information of the products including a criterion product (at least paragraph
 [0012]: memory used to store product information) Application/Control Number: 10/790,249 Page 3

Art Unit: 3625

a threshold setting unit setting a threshold value for the product information items
of the criterion product, which is provided to determine whether specifications of
product information items of the criterion product indicate any differing property
when compared with the specifications of the product information items of the
products (at least paragraph [0023]: ranges of values associated with topics set)

- a judgment unit determining whether specifications in the product information items of the criterion product are different from specifications in the product information items of at least one of the products managed by the product information management unit, based on the threshold value from the threshold setting unit (at least paragraph [0012]: comparison module compares product information)
- a display control unit generating a visually recognizable display indication of differences in the specifications of the product information items based on results of the determination of the determining whether the specifications in the product information items of the criterion product are different from specifications in the product information items of at least one of the products managed by the product information management unit, based on the threshold value (at least abstract: results of comparison output to standard output write functions)

Art Unit: 3625

The Examiner notes that Tavor does not explicitly disclose that the differing properties are one of a newly set property, and omitted property, or an out-of-range property. However, these differences are only found in the non-functional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited or functionally related to the substrate of the system. The threshold setting and information comparison would be performed in the same manner, regardless of how the differing properties are identified. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.23d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to identify the differing properties using any convention because such information does not functionally relate to the steps in the method claimed and merely labeling the properties differently from that in the prior art would have been obvious. See *Gulack* cited above.

Application/Control Number: 10/790,249 Page 5

Art Unit: 3625

Regarding Claims 2, 3, 4

Tavor further discloses:

a selection unit selecting arbitrary one of the product information items of the
products, managed by the product information management unit, as being one of
the product information items of the criterion product (at least paragraph [0009]:
topics for comparison selected)

- the display control unit is configured to generate a visually recognizable alarm
 display indication when the results of the determination of the judgment unit
 indicate that the specifications of the product information items of the criterion
 product are not included in the specifications of the product information items of
 said at least one of the products (at least paragraph [0050]: output generated
 for distinct products)
- the display control unit is configured to generate a visually recognizable alarm display indication when the results of the determination of the judgment unit indicate that any specifications other than the specifications of the product information items of the criterion product are included in the product information items of said at least one of the products (at least paragraph [0050]: output generated for distinct products, i.e. product swith differing specifications)

Art Unit: 3625

Regarding Claim 6

Tavor further discloses:

- a display control information management unit managing display control
 information to control the alarm display indication based on an input threshold
 value and a predetermined range of the input threshold value (at least paragraph
 [0025]: natural language output determined based on range and thresholds by
 module)
- the display control unit configured to generate the visually recognizable alarm display indication of the differing property, based on both the results of the determination of the judgment unit and the display control information managed by the display control information management unit (at least paragraph [0025]: natural language output determined based on range and thresholds by module; Examiner Note: see non-functional descriptive material note and Gulack citation above)

Art Unit: 3625

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 7 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3625

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Misiaszek whose telephone number is (571) 272-6961. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 AM - 4:30 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey A. Smith can be reached on (571) 272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael A. Misiaszek Patent Examiner 7/18/2007 Who to Carge 2000 A CENTER 3600 PRIMARY EXAMINER 3600 PRIMARY CENTER 3600